UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,576	12/05/2003	Praveen Sharma	Q65721	8084
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/01/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			SWITZER, JULIET CAROLINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,576	SHARMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrast 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a positive and according to a positive accor	or election requirement.	=vaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is written supplemental to the NON-FINAL office action mailed 6/12/09. This action differs from the previous action because it modifies this first paragraph of the action to state that the action is intended to be non-final.

2. It is noted that "very early stage breast cancer" is understood to refer to stage 0 breast cancer which includes ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) (see declaration filed 9/21/07, pages 1 and 2). Thus, the recitation in the claims of "very early stage breast cancer" is interpreted as referring to these two types of cancer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 18 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ralph et al. (US 6,190,857; as cited in IDS) in view of Lukas et al. (Journal of Investigative Medicine, 1997, Vol. 45, No. 1, page 132A).

The rejection is maintained as set forth in the office action mailed 8/18/08.

4. Claims 19, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ralph et al. in view of Lukas et al. as applied to claims above, and further in view of Wadhwa et al. (Molecular Biotechnology, Volume 6, pages 213-217).

The rejection is maintained as set forth in the office action mailed 8/18/08.

Double Patenting

5. The double patenting rejection over claims 1-36 of copending Application No. 11/149370 is WITHDRAWN in view of the amendment of those claims to be limited to methods where the disease is multiple sclerosis.

Art Unit: 1634

Response to Remarks

Applicant continues to traverse the rejections under 103 and relies upon a declaration filed by Dr. James Mackay. The declaration has been fully considered but is not persuasive to overcome the rejection.

Dr. Mackay states that he believes that the skilled person reading Ralph et al. would have understood that the method described by Ralph et al. was based on the release of cancer cells, their debris, or cellular components into the blood system resulting in direct interaction between cancer cells and the blood cells, thereby affecting gene expression of the blood cells (paragraph 5). However, this is in direct contrast to the express teachings of Ralph et al. which teaches "...since the markers are produced by circulating leukocytes rather than diseased cells, it is expected that detection may be feasible at very early stages of disease progression, when there are few of no circulating cells present in the peripheral blood (Col. 5, lines 7-11)."

Dr. Mackay points to two passages from Ralph et al. to support an assertion that Ralph et al state that direct contact between the sample to be analyzed and the diseased cells is necessary. Both of these passages are in a portion of Ralph et al. that discusses Immunodetection Assays, not the identification of markers based on mRNA expression. When Ralph et al. discuss the identification of markers based on differential mRNA expression, their only qualification for the sample is that it be a tissue or fluid where leukocytes may be present (Col. 53, lines 1-5). There is no mention that it is required or even preferred that there be direct interaction between cancer cells or their debris or cellular components.

Art Unit: 1634

The declaration states that "the skilled person would believe that the Ralph et al. method could only be extended to cancers in which contact between the cancer cells and peripheral blood cells occurred (paragraph 9)." The declaration suggests that this happens only once cells with metastatic potential or metastasis appear. However, this opinion is contraindicated by the express teachings of Ralph et al. and the general knowledge of the constantly circulating function of white blood cells. At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art certainly would have known that white blood cells spend most of their time outside the circulatory system, patrolling through interstitial fluid and the lymphatic system (Campbell. 1996, page 833). So, it would have been expected that leukocytes would have come in contact with the tumor cells, even if no blood vessels had invaded the tumor. This is reflected in Ralph et al.'s express teaching that detection would be feasible even when there are few of no circulating cancer cells, as stated by Ralph et al., at very early stages of disease progression.

Conclusion

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliet C Switzer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0753. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday or Wednesday, from 9:00 AM until 4:30 PM, and Thursday afternoon from 12:30 PM until 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz can be reached by calling (571) 272-0763.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

Art Unit: 1634

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

(571)272-0507.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be

viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the

USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are

available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is

(866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of

document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of

the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of

the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the

problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete

service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system

provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also

enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as

general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-

9199.

/Juliet C. Switzer/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1634

July 1, 2009